

NEOLIBERALISM AND SPORTS: ROLE OF UNIVERSITIES OF LAHORE

Nauman Saeed¹, Zafar Iqbal Butt², Khalid Rashid¹, Iftikhar Ahmad Baig¹, Muhammad Abdul Jabbar Adnan²,
Nouman Mansoor²

¹Department of Education, University of Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan

² Department of Sport Sciences and Physical Education, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan

*Corresponding author's E-mail: nauman.nauman00@gmail.com

This paper is the part of PhD dissertation of first author.

ABSTRACT: *It is a fascinating time to study sports in the public arena. The current worldwide financial emergency gives a chance to get some information about the types of game that have gotten to be prominent worldwide and to create dynamic dreams for what games can and ought to be later on. The intent of this study is to find out the improvement within universities in terms of sports in regard of financial matters. The data was collected from athletes of different sports through accidental sampling from all the universities of Lahore city who were participating in inter university sports competitions organized by HEC. Questionnaire consisted of 7 statements on liker type scale was administered through survey method from the population. Total 800 questionnaire were got filled from university athletes. Majority the players of different universities said that universities never improve their financial matters regarding sports. Majority the players of different universities said that university never condemn the players of being the part of other departments. Results shows that all the player whether they are from the public universities or private sector universities all were agreed that their institutions are cutting down the financial inputs on sports even in terms of training and competitions. Universities should promote sports not only for the players promotion but also for a healthier youngsters of society.*

Key Terms: Liberalism, Neoliberalism, Financial aspect

INTRODUCTION

"Neo-liberalism" is an arrangement of financial approaches that have ended up across in the boarder amid the most recent 25 years. In a sense it may be linked to "Radicalism" can be alluded to political, financial, or even religious thoughts. In the U.S. political radicalism has been a system to anticipate social clash [1]. It is exhibited to poor and working individuals as a dynamic measure in contrast to preservations or Rightwing thinking. Financial radicalism is distinctive. Moderate lawmakers who say they loathe "liberals" - means the political sort - have no genuine issue with financial progressivism, including neoliberalism. "Neo" means we are discussing another sort of radicalism. No confinements on assembling, no hindrances to business, no taxes, organized commerce be taken after for the advancement of country's economy since "liberal" thought given the feeling of no control [2]. This use of "free" undertaking," "free" rivalry - free business have given tremendous benefits to the people as they wished. In neoliberalism the monetary and social control of financial components has moved from the general population to the private part people with the rise of globalization in the capitalist economy, we are powerful financial institutions like the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the World Bank and the Inter-American Development Bank [3].

Neo-liberalism includes;

The undertaking private ventures out of bonds forced by the legislature (the state) regardless of social harm [4]. Less compensation killing laborers' rights value free society. Everything has gone free to exploit the opportunity for generating the capital, products and administration. It is said that the unregulated business sector is the ideal approach to increment monetary development, which may eventually benefit everybody. [5] It resembles Reagan's "supply-side" and "stream down" financial aspects - however by one means or other riches didn't stream down in particular.

Cutting open consumption for social administrations like training and medicinal services: Reducing the wellbeing net for poor people, and even support of streets, scaffolds, water supply - again for the sake of diminishing government's part.

Obviously, they don't restrict government endowments and tax cuts for business [6].

Deregulation Reduce government bearing of everything that could decrease benefits, including guaranteeing nature and prosperity at work [7].

Privatization Sell state-asserted endeavors, items and organizations to private budgetary pros. This joins banks, key organizations, railroads, toll interstates, control, schools, mending offices and even new water. Though ordinarily accomplished for more imperative capability, which is as often as possible required, privatization has mainly had the effect of concentrating wealth a great deal more in a few hands and making individuals as a rule pay significantly more for its needs [8].

Disposing of the idea of "the general population great" or "group" and supplanting it with "individual obligation." Pressuring the poorest individuals in a general public to discover answers for their absence of human services, instruction and government disability independent from anyone else - then pointing the finger at them, in the event that they fall flat, as "apathetic [9]."

Neoliberalism and Sports

Research on games in the general public is disheartened by essentialist convictions that characterize sport as an altered, inherent articulation of human motivations. This has undermined a consciousness of games as social practices and types of social association that are usually used to reaffirm national and worldwide procedures of neoliberalization [10]. Neoliberalism has been a standout amongst the best endeavors to reshape people in mankind's history. Its accomplishments rank close by such profitable and dangerous partisan practices as state communism, expansionism, patriotism, and religion [11]. Neoliberalism's desire for business sector control was powerful to the point that its prelates opined on each theme comprehensible, from birth rates to separate, from suicide to premature birth, from execution upgrading medications to unselfishness. Logically, it remained against elitism (for populism), against subvention (for business sectors), and against open administration (for altruism) [12]. Taking after neoliberalism the expectation of

interest commitment of pervasive society is superseded by the financial contemplations even by passing the moral contemplations [13].

In the course of recent years, neoliberalism has turned into the overwhelming authority in the a great part of the world [14]. Amid this time, the traditional radicalism that characterized United States financial and social approach amid the nineteenth and mid twentieth century has been rejuvenated, strengthened, and its extension has been developed [15]. This has brought about extraordinary slices to state upheld social administrations and projects, the expansion a financial discernment to social, social, and political circles, and the redefinition of the person from a national to an independent monetary on-screen character [16]. As the neoliberal authority progressively formed people's sound judgment, commodification, commercialization, and marketization, and in addition the expansion of business sector rationale and the prioritization of financial results, have come to reclassify the reason and part of social, social, and political establishments [17]. Notwithstanding unparalleled monetary imbalance, the absence of any genuine dollar increment in specialists' wages, huge development in individual obligation, and the gigantic measure of force that has moved from the state to multinational companies and worldwide money related foundations [18], neoliberalism keeps on being the overwhelming administration.

As neoliberal arrangements, practices, and thoughts created on the planet, a parallel procedure of neoliberal advancement happened in advanced education. All through the previous two decades, the financial aspects, structure, and reason for advanced education, and in addition the needs and personalities of workforce and understudies, have been modified to better adjust to neoliberal practices and belief system. These progressions have not in a general sense changed the financial and social part of advanced education, as the present schools and colleges hold a reason like what it was in 1976 when [19] distributed their intense scrutinize of instruction in the United States. Rather, these progressions have generously changed the conditions in which these parts can be realized, making an arrangement of advanced education that is better comprehended as a complement of the past model of advanced education, which has dependably served the interests of capital and the decision class.

Neoliberal philosophy has attacked schools and colleges around the globe. From Australia and New Zealand [20], to North and South America [21], to Western Europe [22], neoliberalism has fundamentally changed advanced education around the globe [23]. At the point when perusing these records, it turns out to be very clear that while numerous parallels concerning the signs of neoliberal philosophy in advanced education exist between countries, the particular conditions inside every nation has prompted a comparing specific arrangement of neoliberal emergences inside schools and colleges [24].

Sports are the real train of the instructive organizations. From the most recent three decades sports have risen as a noteworthy business industry and also an imperative scholarly teach. Instructive establishments are creating sports

division due to substantial interest in business part. In advanced education foundation significance of games have increased more significance in light of popularity and accreditation necessities are appended with it. The rationale used by the individuals who looked for a neoliberal renewal of administrative social welfare capacities reached out to advanced education, bringing about basic movements in the subsidizing and financing of advanced education [25]. With the movement of these subsidizing and fund change in the part of advanced education foundations influenced the games directed by the advanced education establishments. Sports directed by the advanced education foundations are not only for the planning of the players for the national groups yet it has changed over into a clash of winning trophies [26]. Rivalries among open and private colleges need to ascend to monstrous stature where advancement of game or diversion stays a long ways behind. This study concentrates on the impact of neoliberalism on games happening inside advanced education organizations.

Objective of the Study

The intent of this study is to find out the improvement within universities in terms of sports in regard of financial matters.

Methodology

The data was collected from athletes of different sports through accidental sampling form all the universities of Lahore city who were participating in inter university sports competitions organized by HEC. Questionnaire consisted of 7 statements on liker type scale was administered through survey method form the population. Total 800 questionnaire were got filled from university athletes.

Table 1 shows the responses about statement that universities sports department have improved their financial matters regarding sports and p-value (.646) shows that there is no significant difference between perceptions of players of different universities. Majority the players of different universities said that universities never improve their financial matters regarding sports. Responses about statement that universities sports department train players to distribute their time equally in sports and education sports and p-value (.001) shows that there is a significant difference between perceptions of players of different universities. Majority the players of different universities said that universities never train players to distribute their time equally in sports and education. Responses about statement that universities sports department have improved their performance according to the expectations of people sports and p-value (.002) shows that there is significant difference between perceptions of players of different universities. Majority the players of different universities said that universities sports department never improved their performance according to the expectations of people. Responses about statement that universities sports department have provide latest equipment to the players sports and p-value (.967) shows that there is no significant difference between perceptions of players of different universities. Majority the players of different universities said

Analysis and Interpretation**Table 1: Responses of players about university role regarding sports promotion**

	Statement	University											Chi-	p-
		University sports department	PU	GCU	UCP	UMT	Superior	USA	UET	UVAS	UoL	Imperial		
1	Improves the financial matters of players	Never	20	17	20	22	24	21	18	23	22	20	32.275	.646
		Rarely	29	42	27	33	28	29	37	29	28	46		
		Undecided	4	1	3	3	3	4	4	3	4	1		
		Often	13	14	17	14	15	15	9	16	17	7		
		Most	14	6	13	8	10	11	12	9	9	6		
2	Train players to distribute their time equally in sports and education	Never	16	38	32	25	22	21	26	24	23	48	70.064	.001
		Rarely	24	24	25	22	26	30	25	24	28	24		
		Undecided	13	5	5	9	6	6	8	7	5	0		
		Often	7	3	5	2	3	4	5	3	3	1		
		Most	20	10	13	22	23	19	16	22	21	7		
3	Improve their performance according to the expectations of people	Never	13	15	30	16	15	17	15	18	17	15	64.987	.002
		Rarely	25	21	13	12	10	8	28	10	9	25		
		Undecided	16	22	17	22	27	25	16	24	26	20		
		Often	18	17	13	22	18	19	17	19	19	18		
		Most	8	5	7	8	10	11	4	9	9	2		
4	Provide latest equipment to the players	Never	24	28	33	19	23	23	22	22	23	25	22.037	.967
		Rarely	30	28	23	29	25	27	31	27	26	31		
		Undecided	7	8	8	8	6	5	8	6	5	10		
		Often	8	9	7	11	14	13	10	13	14	8		
		Most	11	7	9	13	12	12	9	12	12	6		
5	Encourage player to adapt latest training processes	Never	24	27	39	22	18	20	27	20	20	35	42.790	.203
		Rarely	24	28	25	31	33	31	21	32	31	21		
		Undecided	9	5	3	7	11	10	9	9	10	5		
		Often	8	12	5	9	9	9	11	10	10	13		
		Most	15	8	8	11	9	10	12	9	9	6		

Abbreviation: PU: University of the Punjab, GCU: Government College University, UCP: University of Central Punjab, UMT: University of Management and Technology, USA: University of South Asia, UET: University of Engineering and Technology, UVAS: University of Veterinary And Animal Sciences, UoL: University of Lahore

that universities sports department never provide latest equipment to the players. Responses about statement that universities sports department encourage players to adapt latest training processes and p-value (.203) shows that there is no significant difference between perceptions of players of different universities. Majority the players of different universities said that university sports department never encourage player to adapt latest training processes Table 2 shows the responses about statement that University sports department condemn the players of being the part of other departments' teams and p-value (.003) shows that there is

significant difference between perceptions of players of different universities. Majority the players of different universities said that University condemn the players of being the part of other departments. Responses about statement that University sports department condemn the players of being the part of more than one team and p-value (.001) shows that there is significant difference between perceptions of players of different universities. Majority the players of different universities said that University condemn the players of being the part of other departments.

Table 2: Responses of players about university role regarding their encashment of skills

Statement	University											Chi-	p-
	University sports department condemn	PU	GCU	UCP	UMT	Superior	USA	UET	UVAS	UoL	Imperial		
1 of being the part of other departments' teams	Never	27	31	32	20	21	17	30	19	18	37	63.257	.003
	Rarely	23	25	25	25	27	33	23	28	32	21		
	Undecided	11	7	11	18	16	16	6	17	14	4		
	Often	8	11	5	5	3	3	11	4	3	10		
	Most	11	6	7	12	13	11	10	12	13	8		
2 of being the part of more than one team	Never	24	19	45	31	36	38	18	35	37	13	70.143	.001
	Rarely	23	25	11	15	12	13	25	12	11	25		
	Undecided	10	9	6	9	10	11	11	9	11	11		
	Often	13	15	9	12	13	10	17	13	12	23		
	Most	10	12	9	13	9	8	9	11	9	8		
3 to play for money	Never	25	22	44	31	32	31	20	33	32	18	58.810	.010
	Rarely	22	18	17	20	19	21	22	18	17	20		
	Undecided	10	11	5	10	9	11	11	10	11	10		
	Often	11	17	6	6	7	5	17	6	6	22		
	Most	12	12	8	13	13	12	10	13	14	10		

Abbreviation: PU: University of the Punjab, GCU: Government College University, UCP: University of Central Punjab, UMT: University of Management and Technology, USA: University of South Asia, UET: University of Engineering and Technology, UVAS: University of Veterinary And Animal Sciences, UoL: University of Lahore

Responses about statement that University sports department condemn the players of being the part of more than one team and p-value (.010) shows that there is significant difference between perceptions of players of different universities. Majority the players of different universities said that University condemn the players of being the part of other departments.

FINDINGS

Result of chi-square test shows that;

- Majority the players of different universities said that universities never improve their financial matters regarding sports.
- Majority the players of different universities said that universities never train players to distribute their time equally in sports and education.
- Majority the players of different universities said that universities sports department never improved their performance according to the expectations of people.
- Majority the players of different universities said that universities sports department never provide latest equipment to the players.
- Majority the players of different universities said that university sports department never encourage player to adapt latest training processes.
- Majority the players of different universities said that University never condemn the players of being the part of other departments.

- Majority the players of different universities said that university never condemn the players of being the part of other departments.
- Majority the players of different universities said that University never criticize the players of being the part of other departments.

CONCLUSION

The objective of this study was to see the improvement within universities in sports regarding financial matters. After careful analysis researchers concluded that as the result of neoliberal philosophy universities have implemented the financial cut shorts on sports activities. Results shows that all the player whether they are from the public universities or private sector universities all were agreed that their institutions are cutting down the financial inputs on sports even in terms of training and competitions. On the other hand universities promote or motivating player to be the part of other team belonging to the some other departments so that players can earn monetary benefits from sports rather than providing them the finances from their selves. Universities were considered as the hatchery of the sports players but now with the adaptation of neoliberalism universities are spending less on the sports.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Universities should facilitate the players with monetary benefits so that they can focus on their game rather than on earnings.
- Universities should play role as a hatchery of sports player so that these player can be the part of national elevens.
- Universities should promote sports not only for the players promotion but also for a healthier youngsters of society.

REFERENCES

- [1]Duggan, L. (2012). *The twilight of equality?: Neoliberalism, cultural politics, and the attack on democracy*. Beacon Press.
- [2]Duménil, G., & Lévy, D. (2011). *The crisis of neoliberalism*. Harvard University Press.
- [3]Ferguson, J. (2010). The uses of neoliberalism. *Antipode*, 41(s1), 166-184.
- [4]Lipman, P. (2013). *The new political economy of urban education: Neoliberalism, race, and the right to the city*. Taylor & Francis.
- [5]Gill, R., & Scharff, C. (2011). New femininities: Postfeminism, neoliberalism, and subjectivity.
- [6]Birch, K., & Mykhnenko, V. (2010). *The rise and fall of neoliberalism: the collapse of an economic order?.* Zed Books Ltd.
- [7]Mirowski, P. (2013). *Never let a serious crisis go to waste: How neoliberalism survived the financial meltdown*. Verso Books.
- [8]Steger, M. B., & Roy, R. K. (2010). *Neoliberalism: A very short introduction* (Vol. 222). Oxford University Press.
- [9]Bakker, K. (2010). The limits of 'neoliberal natures': Debating green neoliberalism. *Progress in Human Geography*, 34(6), 715-735.
- [10]McAfee, K., & Shapiro, E. N. (2010). Payments for ecosystem services in Mexico: nature, neoliberalism, social movements, and the state. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 100(3), 579-599.
- [11]Grugel, J., & Riggirozzi, P. (2012). Post-neoliberalism in Latin America: Rebuilding and reclaiming the State after crisis. *Development and Change*, 43(1), 1-21.
- [12]Coakley, J. (2011). Ideology doesn't just happen: Sports and neoliberalism. *Journal of ALESDE*, 1(1), 67-84.
- [13]Silk, M. (2014). Neoliberalism and Sports Mega-Events. In *Leveraging Legacies from Sports Mega-Events: Concepts and Cases* (pp. 50-60). Palgrave Macmillan UK.
- [14]Abdel-Shehid, G., & Halifax, N. K. L. (2015). Out of Left Field: Social Inequality and Sports. *Neoliberalism*, 428.
- [15]Andrews, D. L., Cole, C. L., Guerrero, L., King, S., Kusz, K. W., Lorenz, S. L., ... & Silk, M. L. (2010). *Commodified and criminalized: New racism and African Americans in contemporary sports*. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
- [16]Kavetsos, G., & Szymanski, S. (2010). National well-being and international sports events. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 31(2), 158-171.
- [17]Darnell, S., & Millington, R. (2015). 4 Modernization, neoliberalism, and sports mega-events. *Mega-Events and Globalization: Capital and Spectacle in a Changing World Order*, 17.
- [18]Pope, C. C. (2013). Sport and neoliberalism: politics, consumption, and culture. *Sport, Education and Society*, 18(6), 846-848.
- [19]Darnell, S. (2014). Sport and Neoliberalism. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 6(1), 139-142.
- [20]Freeman, C. (2011). Neoliberalism. *A Companion to the Anthropology of the Body and Embodiment*, 353-369.
- [21]Pich, C. (2015). Out of Left Field: Social Inequality and Sports. *Alternate Routes: A Journal of Critical Social Research*, 26.
- [22]Wikaire, R. K., & Newman, J. I. (2014). Neoliberalism as Neocolonialism?: Considerations on the Marketisation of Waka Ama in Aotearoa/New Zealand. *Native Games: Indigenous Peoples and Sports in the Post-Colonial World (Research in the Sociology of Sport, Volume 7)* Emerald Group Publishing Limited, 7, 59-83.
- [23]Dubal, S. (2010). The neoliberalization of football: Rethinking neoliberalism through the commercialization of the beautiful game. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*, 45(2), 123-146.
- [24]Kubota, R. (2011). Questioning linguistic instrumentalism: English, neoliberalism, and language tests in Japan. *Linguistics and Education*, 22(3), 248-260.
- [25]Mele, C. (2013). Neoliberalism, race and the redefining of urban redevelopment. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 37(2), 598-617.
- [26]Ansell, N., Hadju, F., Robson, E., van Blerk, L., & Marandet, E. (2012). Youth policy, neoliberalism and transnational governmentality; A case study of Lesotho and Malawi. *Critical Geographies of Childhood and Youth*, 43-60